

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2007
SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2006- MARCH 2007)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Data Analysis and Information Management
Michigan Department of Human Services

February 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM.....	4
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS.....	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE	5
PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS	6
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY	6
TABLE 4 GENDER.....	7
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER.....	9
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS.....	10
PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION.....	11
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS	11
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE.....	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	13
PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS.....	14
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS.....	17
PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	18
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 06-07 (i.e., October 2006 through March 2007) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 470 new participants entered the program, with 13.8% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:
 - 57.9% African American
 - 31.6% White
 - 5.3% Hispanic
 - 4.7% Other (e.g., multi-racial)
 - 0.4% Native American
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 8.1% of the recently enrolled participants.
- The average age, at program entry (i.e., intake), of this group of participants was 17.94 years.
- 96.6% of the participants were single.
- 49.5% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 91.4% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 58.2% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 87.1% of them parenting one child, 10.3% parenting two children, 2.2% parenting three children, and one individual (0.4%) parenting five children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training/classes and school simultaneously),
 - 48.3% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - 6.6% of the participants were enrolled in GED training/classes.
 - 2.6% of the participants were GED holders.
 - 12.2% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 10.5% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 22.9 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.88.
- 34.7% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2007

Six Month Update

October 2006 - March 2007

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 06-07. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2007, namely, October 2006 through March 2007.

The program currently operates via contract with twenty-three sites (23) in twenty (20) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Macomb, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Ottawa, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2006, and March 31, 2007. During this six-month period, 470 new participants entered the program.

Table 1
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY07 YTD ¹	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	80	86	73	78	68	85	470	470	1,020

¹ In addition to these 470 new cases entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2007, there were 920 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2006, and remained open as of the start of FY06-07). Source: TPP semi-annual monitoring reports, October 2006.

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 13.8% (65) of the referrals during this six month period were from DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 42.6% (200) of the referrals. Meanwhile, health care providers (57) accounted for 12.2% of the referrals, followed closely by community health (11.7%; n=55) and community agencies (11.1%; n=52). The remaining 8.7% (41) of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as mental health and schools.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
DHS	8	20	10	5	15	7	65 (13.8%)	65 (13.8%)	148 (14.5%)
Health Care Provider	9	7	9	14	8	10	57 (12.1%)	57 (12.1%)	85 (8.3%)
Community Health	9	8	8	16	5	9	55 (11.7%)	55 (11.7%)	115 (11.5%)
Community Agency	9	6	13	10	5	9	52 (11.1%)	52 (11.1%)	184 (18.0%)
Mental Health	1	0	4	0	0	2	7 (1.5%)	7 (1.5%)	4 (0.4%)
School	14	8	4	5	2	1	34 (7.2%)	34 (7.2%)	113 (11.1%)
Other ²	30	37	25	28	33	47	200 (42.6%)	200 (42.6%)	371 (36.4%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	68	85	470 (100.0%)	470 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%) ³

² "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner/girlfriend, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, another TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, circuit court, probation/parole officer), Innerlink, Strong Families, "United Life Styles", maternal and infant health program, Diversion, HUD outreach, library, internet, yellow pages, brochure/flyer, daycare, etc.

³ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during these six months. Accordingly, 57.9% (271) of the individuals were African American, 31.6% (148) were white, 5.3% (25) were Hispanic, and 0.4% (2) was Native American. The “other” responses served to identify twenty-two individuals (4.7%) as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
White	30	28	26	27	16	21	148 (31.6%)	148 (31.6%)	331 (32.5%)
African American	42	46	40	40	41	62	271 (57.9%)	271 (57.9%)	576 (56.5%)
Native American	0	0	1	1	0	0	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	11 (1.1%)
Hispanic	5	8	2	4	5	1	25 (5.3%)	25 (5.3%)	64 (6.3%)
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)
Other	3	4	4	6	4	1	22 (4.7%)	22 (4.7%)	37 (3.6%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	66	85	468 (100.0%)	468 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
Missing ⁴	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0

⁴ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during these six months. Accordingly, 91.9% (432) of the individuals were female, and 8.1% (38) were male.

Table 4
GENDER

	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
GENDER	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Female	76	78	67	71	59	81	432 (91.9%)	432 (91.9%)	978 (95.9%)
Male	4	8	6	7	9	4	38 (8.1%)	38 (8.1%)	42 (4.1%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	68	85	470 (100.0%)	470 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)

Table 5 displays the age distribution, at intake, of participants entering the program during these six months, with the overall average age being 17.94 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS (age at intake)	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.3%)
Thirteen	1	0	0	0	0	1	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	8 (0.8%)
Fourteen	1	2	3	1	1	1	9 (1.9%)	9 (1.9%)	27 (2.7%)
Fifteen	2	4	3	8	5	6	28 (6.0%)	28 (6.0%)	82 (8.1%)
Sixteen	15	20	16	16	12	14	93 (20.1%)	93 (20.1%)	154 (15.3%)
Seventeen	18	19	15	17	11	21	101 (21.8%)	101 (21.8%)	226 (22.4%)
Eighteen	24	18	19	16	12	15	104 (22.5%)	104 (22.5%)	212 (21.1%)
Nineteen	15	15	12	16	18	10	86 (18.6%)	86 (18.6%)	195 (19.4%)
Twenty	4	5	4	4	7	15	39 (8.4%)	39 (8.4%)	95 (9.4%)
Twenty-one	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 (0.5%)
TOTALS	80	84	72	78	66	83	463 (100.0%)	463 (100.0%)	1,007 (100.0%)
Missing	0	2	1	0	2	2	7	7	13

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.89 years old, and the average male participant was 18.64 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁵

AGE BY GENDER	FIRST SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 07				FY07 % YTD (N)	FY06 % Total (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	95.5	95.0	89.6	92.4 (428)	92.4 (428)	96.1 (968)
Male	4.5	5.0	10.4	7.6 (35)	7.6 (35)	3.9 (39)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (133)	100.0 (100)	100.0 (230)	100.0 (463)	100.0 (463)	100.0 (1,007)

⁵ For the first six months of FY07, there were seven cases for which information about age was missing. Meanwhile, for FY06, there were thirteen cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 96.6% (452) were single and 2.8% (13) were married. Of the thirteen individuals who were married, seven were white, four were African American, and two were Hispanic. In terms of age, three were sixteen years old or younger, three were seventeen years old, and seven were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, twelve of the married participants were female and one was male. Note: no further explanations were provided for the three individuals whose marital status was described as “other”.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Single	76	85	71	76	63	81	452 (96.6%)	452 (96.6%)	993 (97.4%)
Married	3	1	0	2	3	4	13 (2.8%)	13 (2.8%)	25 (2.5%)
Other	1	0	2	0	0	0	3 (0.6%)	3 (0.6%)	2 (0.2%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	66	85	468 (100.0%)	468 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 41.8% (196) were pregnant, 50.5% (237) were parenting, and 7.7% (36) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Pregnant	43	36	30	35	23	29	196 (41.8%)	196 (41.8%)	442 (43.3%)
Parenting	33	45	38	38	37	46	237 (50.5%)	237 (50.5%)	490 (48.0%)
Pregnant and Parenting	4	5	5	5	7	10	36 (7.7%)	36 (7.7%)	88 (8.6%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	67	85	469 (100.0%)	469 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 91.4% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	43	38	32	37	28	34	212 (91.4%)	212 (91.4%)	509 (96.0%)
No	4	3	3	3	2	5	20 (8.6%)	20 (8.6%)	21 (4.0%)
TOTALS	47	41	35	40	30	39	232 (100.0%)	232 (100.0%)	530 (100.0%)

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 83.9% (265) were one year or younger, 7.9% (25) were two years old, 4.7% (15) were three years old, 2.2% (7) were four years old, and 1.3% (4) was five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 86.8% (204) of those parenting had one child, 10.2% (24) had two children, 2.6% (6) had three children, and 0.4% (1) had five children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	27	35	33	34	32	43	204 (86.8%)	204 (86.8%)	424 (86.9%)
Two	3	9	4	1	4	3	24 (10.2%)	24 (10.2%)	56 (11.5%)
Three	2	1	0	3	0	0	6 (2.6%)	6 (2.6%)	7 (1.4%)
Five	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)
TOTALS	33	45	37	38	36	46	235 (100.0%)	235 (100.0%)	488 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	2

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 88.9% (32) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, and 11.1% (4) had two children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
One	3	5	5	5	5	9	32 (88.9%)	32 (88.9%)	70 (79.5%)
Two	1	0	0	0	2	1	4 (11.1%)	4 (11.1%)	17 (19.3%)
Three	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1.1%)
TOTALS	4	5	5	5	7	10	36 (100.0%)	36 (100.0%)	88 (100.0%)

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.2.

A. School

The 226 individuals (48.3%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Eighteen individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training/classes.
- Fifteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- Four individuals had a GED.
- Twenty-one teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.0.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.40 years, with 43.2% being sixteen years old or younger, 23.4% being seventeen years old, and 33.3% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 92.5% (209) of those enrolled were females, representing 48.4% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 7.5% (17) of those enrolled were males, representing 44.7% of males in the program.

The 242 individuals (51.7%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Forty-two teens had a high school diploma.
- Eight participants had a GED certificate.
- Thirteen individuals were in GED training/classes.
- Twenty-eight teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.3.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.46 years, with 15.1% being sixteen years old or younger, 19.7% being seventeen years old, and 65.3% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 91.3% (221) of those not enrolled were females, representing 51.2% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 8.7% (21) of those not enrolled were males, representing 55.3% of males in the program.

B. GED Training/Classes

Of the thirty-one individuals (6.6%) in GED training/classes, eighteen were also in school and one was working. In terms of age, 19.4% were sixteen years old, 16.1% were seventeen years old, and 64.5% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Twelve individuals (2.6%) were identified as having a GED certificate, four of who were continuing their education and two of who were working.

D. High School Diploma

The fifty-seven individuals (12.2%) who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Fifteen teens were continuing their education.
- Fifteen teens were working.

The 410 individuals (82.8%) who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 211 teens were enrolled in school.
- Thirty-one teens were in GED training/classes (including eighteen who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Twelve teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Thirty-four individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 163 individuals, or 34.7% of those who entered the program during these six months, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training/classes, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed at the time they entered the program. In terms of age, 21.0% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 24.1% were seventeen years old, and 54.9% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
A. Was the participant in school at intake?									
Yes	40	39	38	40	34	35	226 (48.3%)	226 (48.3%)	513 (50.3%)
No	40	47	35	38	32	50	242 (51.7%)	242 (51.7%)	507 (49.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	80	86	73	78	66 (2)	85	468 (2) (100.0%)	468 (2) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training/classes?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	07 YTD	06 Total
Yes	7	9	2	6	3	4	31 (6.6%)	31 (6.6%)	67 (6.6%)
No	73	77	71	72	63	81	437 (93.4%)	437 (93.4%)	953 (93.4%)
TOTALS (Missing)	80	86	73	78	66 (2)	85	468 (2) (100.0%)	468 (2) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	07 YTD	06 Total
Yes	3	3	1	1	1	3	12 (2.6%)	12 (2.6%)	21 (2.1%)
No	77	82	72	77	65	82	455 (97.4%)	455 (97.4%)	999 (97.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	80	85 (1)	73	78	66 (2)	85	467 (3) (100.0%)	467 (3) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	07 YTD	06 Total
Yes	9	7	6	11	10	14	57 (12.2%)	57 (12.2%)	169 (16.6%)
No	71	78	67	67	56	71	410 (87.8%)	410 (87.8%)	851 (83.4%)
TOTALS (Missing)	80	85 (1)	73	78	66 (2)	85	467 (3) (100.0%)	467 (3) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 10.5% (49) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 89.5% (418) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	7	14	6	10	7	5	49 (10.5%)	49 (10.5%)	127 (12.5%)
No	73	72	67	68	58	80	418 (89.5%)	418 (89.5%)	891 (87.5%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	65	85	467 (100.0%)	467 (100.0%)	1,018 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3	2

For the forty-nine teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 22.9 and the average hourly wage was \$6.88. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.48 years. Furthermore,

- Forty-four (89.8%) of those employed were females, representing 10.2% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, five (10.2%) of those employed were male, representing 13.2% of the males entering the program.
- Fifteen individuals had a high school diploma (three of who were also continuing their education).
- Two had a GED certificate (one of who was continuing with their education).
- Twenty-one individuals were enrolled in school (three of who had a diploma and one of who had a GED certificate).
- Fifteen teens were working, but were not in school or GED training/classes, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 418 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 204 were enrolled in school (including ten who were also in GED training/classes, three who had a GED, and twelve who had a high school diploma).
- Thirty-one teens were in GED training/classes (eighteen of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Forty-two individuals had a high school diploma (twelve of who were also continuing their education).
- Ten teens had a GED certificate, including three who were also in school.

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 49.5% of the individuals, who entered the program during these six months, resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 12.8% living with other relative(s), and 8.1% living independently. The remaining 29.6% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 67.7% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 58.6% of those aged seventeen and 34.3% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents at intake.

- All totaled, 87.2% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement. Similarly, 78.8% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with adult friend/roommate, living with partner's family (without partner), living in shelter, living in transitional living program, living in teen housing, residing at a community agency, living temporarily with previous foster parents, and currently "between places" (moves back and forth from parent's home to living on their own), etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY07 YTD	FY06 TOTAL
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
w/Parents	40	45	27	45	29	46	232 (49.5%)	232 (49.5%)	527 (51.7%)
w/Guardian	4	5	2	1	2	3	17 (3.6%)	17 (3.6%)	36 (3.5%)
w/Other relative	7	8	16	8	10	11	60 (12.8%)	60 (12.8%)	108 (10.6%)
w/Partner	4	5	6	4	2	7	28 (6.0%)	28 (6.0%)	68 (6.7%)
w/Spouse	2	1	1	1	1	0	6 (1.3%)	6 (1.3%)	9 (0.9%)
Formal placement	1	4	2	3	1	0	11 (2.3%)	11 (2.3%)	18 (1.8%)
Independently	8	6	5	5	7	7	38 (8.1%)	38 (8.1%)	99 (9.7%)
Homeless	4	3	4	4	2	4	21 (4.5%)	21 (4.5%)	37 (3.6%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	1	5	4	5	8	3	26 (5.5%)	26 (5.5%)	61 (6.0%)
Other	9	4	6	2	5	4	30 (6.4%)	30 (6.4%)	56 (5.6%)
TOTALS	80	86	73	78	67	85	469 (100.0%)	469 (100.0%)	1,019 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁶

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	OCTOBER 2006 – MARCH 2007				FY07 YTD % (N)	FY06 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	67.7	58.6	34.3	49.1 (227)	49.1 (227)	51.9 (522)
w/Guardian	7.5	4.0	1.3	3.7 (17)	3.7 (17)	3.5 (35)
w/Other relative	6.8	12.1	17.0	13.0 (60)	13.0 (60)	10.6 (107)
w/Partner	1.5	5.1	8.7	5.8 (27)	5.8 (27)	6.6 (66)
w/Spouse	1.5	0.0	1.7	1.3 (6)	1.3 (6)	0.9 (9)
Formal placement	3.8	4.0	0.9	2.4 (11)	2.4 (11)	1.7 (17)
Independently	0.8	3.0	14.8	8.2 (38)	8.2 (38)	9.7 (98)
Homeless	2.3	1.0	7.4	4.5 (21)	4.5 (21)	3.7 (37)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	4.5	4.0	6.5	5.4 (25)	5.4 (25)	6.0 (60)
Other	3.8	8.1	7.4	6.5 (30)	6.5 (30)	5.5 (55)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (133)	100.0 (99)	100.0 (230)	100.0 (462)	100.0 (462)	100.0 (1,006)

⁶ For the first six months of FY07, there were eight individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown. NOTE: For FY06, there were fourteen individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.